

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,924	03/01/2004	Po-Sung Kao	250112-1040	1436
24504	7590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			CHANG, AUDREY Y	
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA	, GA 30339-5948		2872	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)						
## Examiner ## Audrey Y. Chang ## 2872 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.736(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - If this period for reply tage-acided above, the maximum statutory priod of year, a reply value in the period of reply tage-acided above, the maximum statutory priod of wall apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period of reply tage-acided above, the maximum statutory priod will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply tage-acided above, the maximum statutory priod will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply tage-acided above, the maximum statutory priod will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply tage-acided above, the maximum statutory priod will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply tage-acided above, the maximum statutory priod will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply tage-acided above, the maximum statutory priod will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply tage-acided acide the statutory and will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply tage-acided acide the statutory and the st		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Audrey Y. Chang — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If he period for reply specified above is loss than thirty (0) days, a reply vehicle the set with (b) MONTH'S from he maining date of this communication. If he period for reply specified above is loss than thirty (0) days, a reply vehicle he adductory minimum of hirty (30) days will be considered timely. If he period for reply specified above is here than the period will reply vehicle the detailed of this communication. Any reply recoved by the Office later than fines months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patent than distance. A prior plant the application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 11) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) Claim of the priority doc		10/790,924	KAO ET AL.			
The MMLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensized of time may be available under the provisions of 3°CR 1.13(a). In so event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply appelled above is less than britty (30 days, a vell power), which have been a received in the period for reply applied to the prior of the period for reply applied above is less than britty (30 days, a vell power), which the set or detended period for reply will, by statisticy praired vill applied, and vill expire SIX (MONTNE from the mailing date of this communication, and the period patient term adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.76(b). **Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - If NO period for reply is passed above, the maximum statutory provided will apply and will expire 51% (5) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the provision of the provision of the mailing date of this communication, even if limitly filed, may reclave ally contained patient lerm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(s). - Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estatement of time may be validate under the previous of 3°CFR 1.13(s). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX. (s) MOCHTS from the mailing date of his communication. For time of the property of the priority observed of the priority documents have been received in Application No		tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum status - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the set of t	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir 17 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON 18 by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Status					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	· <u> </u>	=				
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2	•			is		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	vithdrawn from consideration.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	·					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•			(d).		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Δttachment(s)					
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-	-948) Paper No O/SB/08) 5) Notice of	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/790,924

Art Unit: 2872

43

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent issued to Evans (PN. 6,011,662) in view of the patent issued to Poradish (PN. 5,777,694).

Evans teaches a color filter wheel assembly (100) that is comprised of a hub (110, Figures 1, 2A, 2B and 5-6) serves as the carrier that is rotated around a central axis and color elements (120), serves as the color filer is fixed to the carrier. Evans teaches that the carrier or hub further comprises a plurality of pegs (151 and 152) that are symmetrically formed around the central axis (with regard to claims 3 and 8) and adhered to the hub or carrier for holding and fixing the color filter to the carrier or hub, (with regard to claims 5 and 10).

This reference has met all the limitations of the claims with the exception that it does not teach explicitly that the pegs serves as the balancing elements such that the center of mass of the color filter assembly is on the central axis. However it is implicitly true that the pegs are having the appropriate mass such that the color filter wheel when is rotated will not experience severe mass imbalance so that the filter wheel does not either lose balance or wobble which would seriously affect the color balance of the color filter wheel. And by standard mechanic, the way to ensure the rotation of the color filter wheel to be proper without wobbling is to have the center of mass of the wheel located on the central axis, (off center of mass will cause non-zero torque force to the wheel and will cause wobbling of the wheel) and such is resulted by symmetrically distributed the weight or mass of the color wheel around the central axis.

Art Unit: 2872

Poradish in the same field of endeavor teaches to make the hub of the color wheel to be mass loaded around the drive shaft or central axis so that the mass balance is *enhanced*, (please see Figures 3-5 and column 5, lines 27-31). The mass around the shaft or the central axis is *symmetrically* formed. It would then have been obvious to one skilled in the art to *particularly* design the pegs of Evans to have mass distribution that will make the center of mass of the whole color wheel located on the central axis for the benefit of ensuring and enhancing the mass balance of the color filter wheel and therefore ensuring and enhancing the color filtering effect.

These references also do not teach that the hub or carrier has a plurality of holes for receiving these pegs or balancing elements and the pegs are individually removable. With regard to claims 4 and 9, these references also do not teach that the holes are threaded for the pegs to moving therein. However using threaded holes and screws as fasten means to hold down elements are extremely well known in the art such modification would have been obvious to one skilled in the art for the benefit of making the fastening of the color filter elements with more ease and providing means for adjusting the tightness of the holding by adjusting the tightness of the screws. With regard to claims 2 and 7, the geometrical shapes of the holes are considered to be an obvious design choice to one skilled in the art for the benefit of making the hub or carrier with desired arrangement that suited for particular application requirement and for perhaps the ease of engaging the screws or pegs at a desired location within the holes.

With regard to claim 6, Evans further teaches to have a motor (720, Figure 7) and a shaft (710) that is adapted to the center of the hub, which serves as the central axis, of the color wheel for rotating the color filter wheel.

Art Unit: 2872

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Audrey Y. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-2309. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30), alternative Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained
from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available
through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Audrey Y. Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872

A. Chang, Ph.D.